



Faculty of Resource Science and Technology

**Assessment of The Soil Fertility Status of Lands Under Upland
Farming Practices at Sabal, Sarawak, Malaysia**

Ho Soo Ying

**Master of Science
(Soil Science)
2015**

Grade: _____

Please tick (✓)

Final Year Project Report ☐Masters ☒PhD ☐

DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK

This declaration is made on the 15th day of DECEMBER 2015.

Student's Declaration:

I HO SOO YING (13020020) FACULTY OF RESOURCE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(PLEASE INDICATE STUDENT'S NAME, MATRIC NO. AND FACULTY) hereby declare that the work entitled, ASSESSMENT OF THE SOIL FERTILITY STATUS OF LANDS UNDER UPLAND FARMING PRACTICES AT SABAL, SARAWAK, MALAYSIA is my original work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from any other sources except where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicitly in the text, nor has any part been written for me by another person.

15/12/2015

Date submitted

HO SOO YING (13020020)

Name of the student (Matric No.)

Supervisor's Declaration:

I DR MOHD. EFFENDI BIN WASLI (SUPERVISOR'S NAME) hereby certifies that the work entitled, ASSESSMENT OF THE SOIL FERTILITY STATUS OF LANDS UNDER UPLAND FARMING PRACTICES AT SABAL, SARAWAK, MALAYSIA (TITLE) was prepared by the above named student, and was submitted to the "FACULTY" as a * partial/full fulfillment for the conferment of MASTER OF SCIENCE (SOIL SCIENCE) (PLEASE INDICATE THE DEGREE), and the aforementioned work, to the best of my knowledge, is the said student's work

Received for examination by: _____

Date: 15/12/2015

(Name of the supervisor)
Dr. Mohd. Effendi Wasli
 Head of Department
 Department of Plant Science & Environmental Ecology
 Faculty of Resource Science & Technology
 UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK
 94300 Kota Samarahan

I declare this Project/Thesis is classified as (Please tick (✓)):

- ☐ **CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)*
☐ **RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organisation where research was done)*
☒ **OPEN ACCESS**

Validation of Project/Thesis

I therefore duly affirmed with free consent and willingness declared that this said Project/Thesis shall be placed officially in the Centre for Academic Information Services with the abide interest and rights as follows:

- This Project/Thesis is the sole legal property of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS).
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies for the purpose of academic and research only and not for other purpose.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to digitise the content to for the Local Content Database.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of the Project/Thesis for academic exchange between Higher Learning Institute.
- No dispute or any claim shall arise from the student itself neither third party on this Project/Thesis once it becomes sole property of UNIMAS.
- This Project/Thesis or any material, data and information related to it shall not be distributed, published or disclosed to any party by the student except with UNIMAS permission.

Student's signature _____

(Date)

Supervisor's signature _____

(Date)

15/12/2016

Current Address:

KOLEJ BUNGA RAYA, UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK (UNIMAS), 94300
KOTA SAMARAHAN, SARAWAK, MALAYSIA

Notes: * If the Project/Thesis is **CONFIDENTIAL** or **RESTRICTED**, please attach together as annexure a letter from the organisation with the period and reasons of confidentiality and restriction.

[The instrument was duly prepared by The Centre for Academic Information Services]

**ASSESSMENT OF THE SOIL FERTILITY STATUS OF LANDS
UNDER UPLAND FARMING PRACTICES AT SABAL,
SARAWAK, MALAYSIA**

HO SOO YING

A thesis submitted
In fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master in Science (Soil Science)

Faculty of Resource Science and Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK
2015

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis entitled **Assessment on the Soil Fertility Status of Lands under Upland Farming Practices at Sabal, Sarawak, Malaysia** is my own original work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institute of tertiary education. Information derived from the published and unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of reference is given.

HO SOO YING

(13020020)

Department of Plant Science and Environmental Ecology

Faculty of Resource Science and Technology

Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I am grateful to the **ALMIGHTY GOD** for the good health, well-being and blessings to complete my research and thesis.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude and thankfulness to my principal supervisor, **Dr Mohd Effendi bin Wasli**, for his excellent guidance, untiring assistance, and constant encouragement throughout this research. His invaluable help in constructive comments and suggestions throughout the experimental and thesis works have contributed to the completion of this research. Thank you for letting me to experience field research and practical issues beyond the textbooks, patiently corrected my writing and financially supported my research.

I would like to thank **Universiti Malaysia Sarawak** in providing **Zamalah Graduate Scholarship** throughout my research. Not to forget, **Ministry of Education** in providing **My Brain 15** (My Master Scholarship) for supporting the tuition fees and dissertation printing. My acknowledgement also goes to the entire lecturers, science officer, lab assistants and administration staffs in Faculty of Resource Science and Technology for their help and support during my study.

I would like to express my thankfulness to the all the staffs of **SP Lab Chemistry Laboratory, Sarawak Plantation Berhad** for allowing me to use the equipment and facilities in their laboratory during my study. Thank you very much for the kind assistance, especially **Mr Faizal, Ms Selva Malar and Ms Jocelyn**.

My sincere appreciation to the people at Kampung Kruin (Rumah **Dingin**, Rumah **Ekin**, Rumah **Muta**, Rumah **Tony**, Rumah **Baong**, Rumah **Ali**, Rumah **Jaul**, Rumah **Minggat**, Rumah **Winstin**, Rumah **Chendai**, Rumah **Ijau**, Rumah **Niah** and Rumah **Rendak**, Rumah

Jimmy, Rumah **Garang**), Kampung Sabal Aping (Rumah **Bagong**, Rumah **Antoran**, Rumah **Catherine**, Rumah **Madeline**, Rumah **Payah** and Rumah **Rain**) and Kampung Sabal Tapang (Rumah **Thung**, Rumah **Jimmy Ng**, Rumah **Bong**). Special thanks to **Mr Ekin ak Empati** and family for their very kind assistance, constant encouragement, unconditionally help and warm hospitality during field sampling in Kruin Village, Sabal. Thank you for giving me an opportunity to experience the Dayaks' culture.

Dozens of people have helped and taught me unconditionally during the fieldworks and laboratory analysis, my gratitude also go to my labmates, **Mugunthan A/L Perumal**, **Emilda Charlotte**, **Zatil Aisyah**, **Nurulain Mohd Sab**, **Nurhanani Hanis** and **Alissee Sherrilyn Bagol**. Not to mention, **Mr Gabriel Ong**, thank you very much for your assistance and help during the fieldworks. To my friend, **Yee Yong**, **Shek Li**, **Mira**, **Mei Kin**, **Khalid** and others, thank you very much for your companion, memories and encouragement.

Last but not least, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my family, especially my parents, **Ho Chin Lim** and **Tan Kwee Bee** for their countless sacrifices, endless love, unconditional support and unceasing encouragements. I always believed their sayings “where there is a will, there is a way”. Also, not to mention, my siblings, **Soo Shyan**, **Soo Jin**, **Chia Yen**, **Wei Haw** and **Wei Ren** for their love and support. I am also grateful to my partner, **Chin Kian Tap** for his endless love, companion, support, attention and encouragement throughout the venture. To those who directly and indirectly contributed in this research, your efforts and kindness mean a lot to me. Thank you very much.

Assessment on the Soil Fertility Status of Lands under Upland Farming Practices at

Sabal, Sarawak, Malaysia

Ho Soo Ying

ABSTRACT

Rapidly changing land uses at the upland areas due to the need of subsistence and monetary income have resulted in the intensification of upland agriculture. Incorporation of various agrochemicals on a permanent cultivation land could be detrimental to the tropical environment especially on the naturally fragile upland soils. Various efforts are progressing towards sustaining the soil resources at the upland areas. However, very limited information are available on the current soil fertility and nutrient status under intensified form of agricultural practices via cash crops cultivation at the upland areas of Sarawak. In this study, assessment on the soil nutrient status under various perennial cash crops farming by smallholder farmers at upland area of Sabal was conducted. The soil fertility in these farmlands was determined using soil indices, notably Soil Evaluation Factor (SEF). In addition, the study also clarified the influence of current land management practices on soil nutrient stocks under cash crops cultivation. This study was conducted at Sabal, Sarawak where majority of the communities are smallholder farmers and presently conduct various forms of cash crop farming. Soil samples were collected at the depth of 0-10 cm and 30-40 cm from various land uses namely: secondary forest, rubber, *Hevea brasiliensis*; oil palm, *Elaeis guineensis* and pepper, *Piper nigrum* farmlands for soil physicochemical analysis. Additional soil samples were also collected from the fertilizing points at 0-10 cm depth of oil palm stands and pepper vines. Soil profile descriptions were conducted at the representative study sites to collect the baseline data of the soils at the study area. Interview with the farmland owners were also conducted to obtain information on family background, land use history and cultural practices. The results showed that there were different types of land management practices for the cash crop farming in the study area. In general, only small amount of fertilizers were applied in young rubber farmlands as compared to oil palm and pepper farmlands. On average, approximately 0.2 t ha⁻¹ to 6.8 t ha⁻¹ of compound N-P-K fertilizers were applied annually at oil palm and pepper farmlands. With regard to the different land uses, the soils at the study area were acidic in nature, characterized by sandy texture with low exchangeable bases (K, Mg and Ca). The clay contents and soil Total C were low thus, corresponds to low soil CEC level at the study area. Various land uses were further divided into young secondary forest (Y-SF), old secondary forest (O-SF), before tap rubber farmland (BT-R), after tap rubber farmland (AT-R), before harvest oil palm farmland (BH-OP), after harvest oil palm farmland (AH-OP), before harvest pepper farmland (BH-P) and after harvest pepper farmland (AH-P). In terms of soil physicochemical properties, among different land uses, rubber farmlands resembled to those in secondary forest. Meanwhile, accumulation of nutrient contents, notably soil available P as the effect of fertilizers application was observed in oil palm and pepper farmlands. In addition, soils at both oil palm and pepper farmlands showed high soil bulk density and soil hardness, indicating considerable soil compaction due to regular farm work and maintenance. At the surface soils (0-10 cm), the BH-P farmlands showed the greatest SEF value which was 9.58, followed by Y-SF (9.09), BT-R farmlands (9.04), AH-OP farmlands (8.98), O-SF (6.84), BH-OP farmlands (6.79) and least for AT-R farmlands (6.62). In terms of

soil nutrient stocks under various crops cultivation, the soil nutrient stock under AT-R farmlands were low and similar to those in O-SF. Conversely, soil nutrient stocks in AH-OP farmlands and AH-P farmlands were higher as compared to O-SF, as fertilizers were added into the soils regularly for crops production. As farmers were able to produce satisfactory latex yield, current rubber farming with minimal input of fertilizers and soil disturbances can be regarded as sustainable at the uplands of Sabal. However, the intensified oil palm and pepper cultivation should be reconsidered as it involves significant use of agrochemicals, particularly oil palm cultivation which occupied a larger farmlands area. Knowledge on agrochemicals, especially on fertilizers application along with crop nutrient requirements should be enhanced among the farmers to ensure the effective use of agrochemicals. Furthermore, appropriate and strategic land use planning as well as techniques of good agricultural practice (GAP) should be incorporated and adapted by the local farmers in conserving soil fertility towards sustainable upland agriculture in the future.

Keywords: Upland agriculture, Sarawak, cash crops, soil nutrient status, Soil Evaluation Factor (SEF)

Penilaian Kesuburan Tanah berdasarkan Amalan Pertanian Semasa di Kawasan Tanah Tinggi Sabal, Sarawak.

Ho Soo Ying

ABSTRAK

Perkembangan dalam perubahan penggunaan tanah semasa di tanah tinggi untuk memenuhi keperluan pendapatan telah mendorong kegiatan pertanian tanah tinggi secara intensif. Amalan penggunaan pelbagai jenis agrokimia yang kerap di kawasan pertanian tetap akan memudaratkan alam sekitar, terutamanya di tanah tinggi yang mempunyai sumber tanah yang rapuh. Pelbagai usaha telah dilakukan untuk mengamalkan aktiviti pertanian yang mapan di kawasan tanah tinggi. Walaubagaimanapun, informasi semasa tentang kesuburan tanah di bawah penanaman tanaman tunai secara intensif di kawasan tanah tinggi masih terhad, terutamanya di Sarawak, Malaysia. Justeru, kajian ini bertujuan untuk menilai status nutrien tanah di bawah pelbagai tanaman tunai secara intensif oleh pekebun kecil tempatan di kawasan tanah tinggi, Sarawak. Faktor Penilaian Tanah (SEF) digunakan untuk menentukan kesuburan tanah di kawasan pertanian tersebut. Di samping itu, kajian ini juga menjelaskan pengaruh amalan pengurusan tanah semasa kepada stok nuutrien tanah di kawasan penanaman tanaman tunai. Kawasan kajian terletak di Sabal, Sarawak di mana majoriti masyarakat adalah pekebun kecil yang menanam tanaman tunai. Sampel tanah pada kedalaman 0-10 cm dan 30-40 cm telah dikumpulkan di kawasan hutan sekunder, kebun getah Hevea brasiliensis; kebun kelapa sawit, Elaeis guineensis dan kebun lada hitam; Piper nigrum untuk analisis sifat fizikal-kimia tanah. Sampel tanah tambahan juga dikumpulkan di kedalaman 0-10 cm di tempat pembajaan di bawah kanopi kelapa sawit dan batang lada. Profile tanah juga dilakukan di beberapa kawasan pertanian yang terpilih untuk mengumpul data asas tanah di kawasan kajian. Kajian soal selidik dilaksanakan untuk pengumpulan maklumat seperti latar belakang keluarga, sejarah penggunaan tanah, serta cara penanaman tanaman tunai. Kajian menunjukkan pelbagai teknik pengurusan tanah diamalkan oleh pekebun kecil untuk tanaman tunai yang berbeza. Hanya sedikit baja yang ditaburkan di kawasan penanaman getah muda berbanding dengan kelapa sawit dan lada hitam. Baja kompaun N-P-K yang mempunyai purata 0.2 t ha⁻¹ hingga 6.8 t ha⁻¹ ditaburkan di kawasan pertanian kelapa sawit dan lada hitam. Analisis menunjukkan tanah di kawasan kajian adalah berasid, mempunyai tekstur tanah yang berpasir dan mempunyai kandungan K, Ca dan Mg yang rendah. Kandungan tanah liat dan jumlah C yang rendah telah menyebabkan tahap CEC yang rendah di kawasan kajian. Kawasan kajian telah diahagikan kepada hutan sekunder muda (Y-SF), hutan sekunder tua (O-SF), kebun getah sebelum tuai (BT-R), kebun getah selepas tuai (AT-R), kebun kelapa sawit sebelum tuai (BH-OP), kebun kelapa sawit selepas tuai (AH-OP), kebun lada hitam sebelum tuai (BH-P), dan kebun lada hitam selepas tuai (AH-P). Dari segi sifat fizikal-kimia tanah, kawasan tanaman getah menunjukkan persamaan dengan hutan sekunder. Pengumpulan nutrien di dalam tanah akibat daripada pembajaan

lebih, terutamanya P ditemui di kawasan penanaman sawit dan kawasan penanaman lada hitam. Di samping itu, kawasan penanaman kelapa sawit dan lada, ketumpatan dan kekerasan tanah yang tinggi menunjukkan kekerapan kerja-kerja penyelenggaraan di kebun tersebut telah memberi kesan kepada kepadatan tanah. Pada permukaan tanah (0-10 cm), tanah di kebun (BH-P) menunjukkan nilai SEF yang paling tinggi, diikuti oleh Y-SF (9.09), kebun BT-R (9.04), kebun AH-OP (8.98), hutan O-SF (6.84), kebun BH-OP (6.79), kebun AT-R (6.62) dan kebun (AT-R). Stok nutrien tanah di kawasan AT-R adalah rendah dan mempunyai persamaan dengan O-SF. Sebaliknya, stok nutrien tanah di kebun AH-OP dan AH-P adalah lebih tinggi berbanding dengan O-SF atas kesan pembajaan yang kerap untuk pengeluaran hasil tanaman. Memandangkan pekebun kecil tempatan mampu mengeluarkan hasil susu getah yang memuaskan, penanaman getah di kawasan tanah tinggi yang mempunyai input baja serta gangguan tanah yang minima boleh dianggap sebagai pertanian mapan di kawasan tanah tinggi Sabal. Sebaliknya, penanaman lada dan kelapa sawit perlu dipertimbangkan kerana tanaman tunai tersebut melibatkan penggunaan bahan agrokimia. Ilmu pengetahuan mengenai penggunaan bahan agrokimia, khususnya baja harus dipertingkatkan dalam kalangan petani untuk memastikan keberkesanan dalam penggunaan bahan agrokimia pertanian. Selain itu, perancangan penggunaan tanah yang strategik serta teknik-teknik amalan pertanian baik (GAP) perlu diperkenalkan dan diadaptasi oleh pekebun kecil tempatan untuk pemuliharaan kesuburan tanah dalam pencapaian pertanian mapan di kawasan tanah tinggi pada masa yang akan datang.

Kata kunci: *Pertanian tanah tinggi, Sarawak, tanaman tunai, status nutrien tanah, Faktor Penilaian Tanah (SEF)*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	II
ABSTRACT/ABSTRAK	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VIII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	X
LIST OF TABLES	XIII
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Study Background	1
1.2 Problem Statements	6
1.3 Research Questions	8
1.3 Objectives	8
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 General Information on Uplands in Malaysia	10
2.2 Soils Information of the Uplands in Sarawak	12
2.3 Upland Farming in Sarawak	14
2.4 Soil Management Practices under Cash Crops Farming	
2.4.1 Soil Requirements and Management Practices of Rubber	19
2.4.2 Soil Requirements and Management Practices of Pepper	21
2.4.3 Soil Requirements and Management Practices of Oil Palm	23
2.5 Present Condition of Upland Farming in Malaysia	26
2.6 Sustainable Upland Farming Practices in Southeast Asia	29
MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1 Location and Description of Study Area	33
3.2 Climate of the Study Area	34
3.3 Geology and Soils of the Study Area	35
3.3.1 Agriculture Land Capability Classification of the Study Area	36
3.4 Communities and Peoples of the Study Area	39
3.5 Vegetation and Land Use of the Study Area	40
3.6 Data Collection and Sites Selection	42

3.6.1	Soil Sampling Methods	43
3.7	Procedures for Soil Physicochemical Analysis	45
3.7.1	Soil Physical Analysis	46
3.7.2	Soil Chemical Analysis	48
3.8	Computation of Soil Indices - Soil Evaluation Factor (SEF)	49
3.9	Statistical Analysis	50
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
4.1	Cash Crop Farming Practices at the Study Area	51
4.1.1	Soil Nutrient and Fertilizer Practices and Management in the Uplands of Sabal	53
4.2	Soil Morphological Properties at the Study Area	59
4.3	Soil Physicochemical Properties at the Study Area	66
4.3.1	General Soil Physicochemical Properties at Surface Soils (0-10 cm) and Subsurface Soils (30-40 cm)	66
4.3.2	Soil Physicochemical Properties under Secondary Forests and Rubber Farmlands	75
4.3.2.1	Soil Physicochemical Properties under Secondary Forests	75
4.3.2.2	Soil Physicochemical Properties under Rubber Farmlands	80
4.3.3	Soil Physicochemical Properties under Oil Palm Farmlands and Pepper Farmlands	84
4.3.3.1	Soil Physicochemical Properties under Oil Palm Farmlands	85
4.3.3.2	Soil Physicochemical Properties under Pepper Farmlands	92
4.4	Soil Evaluation Factor under Various Cash Crops Farming Practices in Relation to Secondary Forests	100
4.4.1	Correlation between Soil Physicochemical Properties and Soil Evaluation Factor (SEF)	105
4.5	Nutrient Stocks and Annual Nutrient Budgets of Various Farmlands	107
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		118
REFERENCE LISTS		123
APPENDICES		137

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

%	Percentage
<	Less than
>	More than
°	Degree
°C	Degree Celcius
μScm^{-1}	micro Siemen per centimetre
Al	Aluminium
B	Boron
C	Carbon
Ca	Calcium
CaO	Calcium oxide
CEC	Cation Exchange Capacity
cm	centimetre
cm^3	centimetre cubed
$\text{cmol}_c\text{kg}^{-1}$	centimole charge per kg
EC	Electrical Conductivity
ECEC	Effective CEC
FP	Fertilizing point
g	gram
g kg^{-1}	gram per kilogram
gmL^{-1}	gram per millimetre
H	Hydrogen
ha	hectare

ha ⁻¹	per hectare
HCl	Hydrogen Chloride
K	Potassium
KCl	Potassium Chloride
kg	kilogram
kg yr ⁻¹ ha ⁻¹	kilogram per year per hectare
m	metre
M	Molarity
Mg	Magnesium
mg P kg ⁻¹	milligram P per kilogram
MgO	Magnesium Oxide
MgO	Magnesium oxide
mm	millimetre
mt	metric ton
N	Nitrogen
N FP	Non-fertilizing point
Na	Sodium
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NADPH	Reduced Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
NGOs	Non-Governmental Organizations
NH ₄ F	Ammonium Fluoride
nm	nanometre
P	Phosphorus

POME	Palm oil mill effluent
ppm	parts per million
rpm	revolutions per minute
RRIM	Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia
SEF	Soil Evaluation Factor
SOM	Soil Organic Matter
t	tonne
t ha ⁻¹	tonne per hectare
t yr ⁻¹ ha ⁻¹	tonne per year per hectare
TE	Trace Elements
trees ha ⁻¹	trees per hectare
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Titles	Page
Table 1	Distribution of suitable and unsuitable land for agriculture in Malaysia	11
Table 2	Soil physicochemical properties in uplands of Sarawak	13
Table 3	Agronomic practices of rubber cultivation	19
Table 4	Agronomic practices for pepper cultivation	21
Table 5	Agronomic practices for oil palm cultivation	24
Table 6	Climatic data from the year 2004 to 2013 at Sri Aman Airport	35
Table 7	Soil series and agriculture land capability classification of upland areas in Sabal, Sarawak	37
Table 8	Brief information on the studied village	40
Table 9	Number of soil samples collected from various land uses	45
Table 10	Group division of different land uses with reference to fallow age and harvesting period	45
Table 11	Present agronomic practices of the cultivated cash crops at the Sabal upland areas	54
Table 12	Soil morphological characteristics of representative studied sites from various land uses	60
Table 13	Soil physicochemical properties of surface soils (0-10 cm) and subsurface soils (30-40 cm) at secondary forest (SF), rubber (R), oil palm (OP) and pepper (P) farmlands	66
Table 14	Comparison of soil properties between Y-SF secondary forest and O-SF secondary forest at surface soils (0-10 cm)	76
Table 15	Comparison of soil properties between BT-R rubber farmlands and AT-R rubber farmlands at surface soils (0-10 cm)	81
Table 16	Comparison of soil properties between BH-OP oil palm farmlands and AH-OP oil palm farmlands at non-fertilizing point (N FP) of surface soils (0-10 cm)	85
Table 17	Comparison of soil properties between BH-OP farmlands and AH-	87

	OP farmlands at fertilizing point (FP) of surface soils (0-10 cm)	
Table 18	Comparison of soil properties between BH-P pepper farmlands and AH-P pepper farmlands at non fertilizing point (N FP) at surface soils (0-10 cm)	93
Table 19	Comparison of soil properties between BH-P farmlands and AH-P farmlands at fertilizing point (FP) of surface soils (0-10 cm)	94
Table 20	Soil Evaluation Factors (SEF) for all Land Uses in Surface Soils (0-10 cm) and Subsurface Soils (30-40 cm)	100
Table 21	Pearson Correlation Coefficients between soil physicochemical properties with Soil Evaluation Factor (SEF) for surface soils (0-10 cm) and subsurface soils (30-40 cm)	105
Table 22	Crops nutrient stocks (ha^{-1}) of productive rubber, oil palm and pepper stand	107
Table 23	Nutrient stocks in soils under old secondary forest, rubber farmlands, oil palm farmlands and pepper farmlands	108
Table 24	Annual nutrient budgets comparing fertilizers application (Input) and yield of cash crops (Output)	109

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Titles	Page
Figure 1	Nutrient uptake of oil palm for the first 10 years after planting	25
Figure 2	The sustainability tripod, showing interrelations between the components of environment, economy and society	30
Figure 3	Map of the study area, Sabal upland area	33
Figure 4	Soil series and agriculture land capability classification map of Sabal area	37
Figure 5	Soil sampling design in various land uses at the study area	44
Figure 6	Soils textural class for determination of particle size analysis	47
Figure 7	Area size (ha) of the cash crop farmlands at Sabal upland area	55
Figure 8	Annual rate of fertilizers application (t ha^{-1}) under various cash crops cultivation at Sabal upland areas	57
Figure 9	Images of soil pits for soil profile descriptions at representative study sites. (a) Secondary forests, (b) Rubber farmlands, (c) Oil palm farmlands and (d) Pepper farmlands	61
Figure 10	pH (H_2O) of surface soils (a) and subsurface soils (b) at secondary forests (SF), rubber farmlands (R), oil palm farmlands (OP) and pepper farmlands (P)	69
Figure 11	Clay content (%) of surface soils (a) and subsurface soils (b) at secondary forests (SF), rubber farmlands (R), oil palm farmlands (OP) and pepper farmlands (P)	70
Figure 12	Total C (g kg^{-1}) of surface soils (a) and subsurface soils (b) at secondary forests (SF), rubber farmlands (R), oil palm farmlands (OP) and pepper farmlands (P)	71
Figure 13	CEC ($\text{cmol}_c \text{ kg}^{-1}$) of surface soils (a) and subsurface soils (b) at secondary forests (SF), rubber farmlands (R), oil palm farmlands (OP) and pepper farmlands (P)	73
Figure 14	pH (H_2O), exchangeable Mg ($\text{cmol}_c \text{ kg}^{-1}$), exchangeable Ca ($\text{cmol}_c \text{ kg}^{-1}$) and Available P (mg P kg^{-1}) for surface soils (0-10 cm) in Y-SF and O-SF	78
Figure 15	Amount of available Phosphorus (mg P kg^{-1}) in BT-R farmlands at	82

surface soil (0-10 cm)

Figure 16	Soil hardness of surface layer (0-10 cm) in BT-R and AT-R farmlands	83
Figure 17	pH (H ₂ O) and exchangeable Al (cmol _c kg ⁻¹) of non-fertilizing point of surface soils (0-10 cm) in BH-OP (N FP) and AH-OP (N FP)	88
Figure 18	Total C (g kg ⁻¹) at non-fertilizing point of BH-OP (N FP) and AH-OP (N FP) farmlands and fertilizing point (FP) of BH-OP (FP) and AH-OP (FP) farmlands at the surface soils (0-10 cm)	89
Figure 19	Comparisons of Available P (mg P kg ⁻¹) at non-fertilizing point of BH-OP (N FP) and AH-OP (N FP) farmlands and fertilizing point (FP) of BH-OP (FP) and AH-OP (FP) farmlands at the surface soils (0-10 cm)	91
Figure 20	Bulk density (g mL ⁻¹) at non-fertilizing point of BH-OP (N FP) and AH-OP (N FP) farmlands and fertilizing point (FP) of BH-OP (FP) and AH-OP (FP) farmlands at the surface soils (0-10 cm)	92
Figure 21	pH (H ₂ O), exchangeable K (cmol _c kg ⁻¹), exchangeable Mg (cmol _c kg ⁻¹) and exchangeable Ca (cmol _c kg ⁻¹) of non-fertilizing point BH-P (N FP) farmlands and AH-P (N FP) farmlands	95
Figure 22	Comparison between pH (H ₂ O) and Total N (g kg ⁻¹) at fertilizing points of BH-P (FP) and AH-P (FP) farmlands	96
Figure 23	Available P (mg P kg ⁻¹) of non-fertilizing point and fertilizing point of surface soils (0-10cm) in before harvest pepper farmlands (BH-P) and after harvest pepper farmlands (AH-P)	97
Figure 24	Bulk density (g mL ⁻¹) of non-fertilizing point and fertilizing point of surface soils (0-10 cm) in before harvest pepper farmlands (BH-P) and after harvest pepper farmlands (AH-P)	98
Figure 25	Soil Evaluation Factor (SEF) of various land uses at the surface soils (a) subsurface soils (b)	103
Figure 26	Annual nutrient budgets in rubber farmlands	111
Figure 27	Comparison of annual nutrient budget in oil palm farmlands and pepper farmlands	115

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Study Background

Upland farming is referred as agricultural land or activities that is established and prevailed on slope or steepland of the mountainous region that consists of unsubmerged and well-aerated soil (Gomez and Gomez, 1983). Across the Southeast Asia region, the rural households, especially those reside at the interior and remote uplands are still largely dependent on these land areas to sustain their livelihood in providing food, income, fuel, medicine and land for farming (Hong, 1987; Ramakrishnan, 1992; Kleinman *et al.*, 1995; Watanabe *et al.*, 2004).

Aminuddin *et al.* (1990) reported that Malaysia has a total land area of 33.03 million ha, with the total upland area estimated about 22.50 million ha. In Sarawak, the total land area is recorded as 12.40 million ha (Teng, 2003). However, 7.17 million ha (67%) are steepplands with hilly and steep terrain with limitations of erosion hazard that require proper land management practices when used for agricultural production (Teng, 2003). On the other hand, in terms of agricultural capability, only 1.78 million ha (14%) of the total 12.40 million ha of land area in Sarawak are suitable for agriculture. The remaining of 10.62 million ha (86%) are classified as marginally suitable to conditionally suitable for agriculture where most of these land were located at the upland area. The occurrence of steepplands throughout the state of Sarawak can be found within different topography and slope steepness. At those areas, the common soil type found are Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils and Skeletal Soils under Sarawak Soils Classification System which corresponds to Ultisols and Oxisols in the USDA soil classification system (Teng, 2004). Moreover, soils covering such area are highly weathered

and infertile with acidic properties, low cation exchange capacity and base saturation under intense weathering conditions in the tropical environment (Aminuddin *et al.*, 1990).

At present, two common form of agricultural practices existed at the upland areas of Sarawak, predominantly subsistence farming via shifting cultivation and cash crop farming via permanent cultivation. For decades, shifting cultivation is known as an age-old, primitive farming system that has been widely practice in the uplands of Sarawak till today (Padoch *et al.*, 2007). Initially, the desired land is cleared and burnt as preparation for the cultivation of upland rice. After each cycle of cropping period, the land will be abandoned for vegetation regeneration and soil recovery before they move to the similar land for next cultivation. Thus, shifting cultivation is considered as an ideal solution for soil fertility in the humid tropics (Watters, 1971; Kleinman *et al.*, 1995). Likewise, such agriculture is considered well-adapted to the interior uplands that often classified as marginally and conditionally suitable for agriculture purposes in the Sarawak (Teng, 1991). Successful rotation of soil recovery normally requires considerable fallow period for the purpose to restore the soil fertility lost during cropping period (Sanchez, 1995). However, such agricultural system is extremely fragile and destructive under land and population pressure (Hatch and Lim, 1978; Hong, 1987).

On the other hand, farmers also cultivate rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) and pepper (*Piper nigrum*) as perennial cash crops in addition to upland rice cultivation as their source of staple food (Tanaka *et al.*, 2009). Rubber and pepper were introduced to Sarawak in the 1870s and 1900s respectively (Cramb; 2007; Tanaka *et al.*, 2014). According to Cramb (2007), rubber can be easily incorporated into the shifting cultivation system by planting the seedlings simultaneously during or after the rice cropping period while pepper cultivation became widespread due to the availability of agrochemicals provided by government agencies and less

labour intensive as compared to the traditional agricultural practice. Nowadays, rapid changes in socio-economic condition of rural farmers in parallel to the industrialism development in Sarawak has encouraged the farmers to realize the importance of cash crop farming in fulfilling the need of monetary income. As a consequence, the shifting cultivation practices which previously act as the central form of agricultural system in the uplands had undergone transformation into a more diversified and complex upland farming systems (Tanaka *et al.*, 2009; Wasli *et al.*, 2009), where the subsistence shifting cultivation as well as permanent cash crop farming system coexist and prevail at the uplands of Sarawak. Furthermore, oil palm has been cultivated commercially through government agencies and private plantation companies in the 1970s and 1980s (Ngidang, 2002; Cramb, 2007) and recently gaining popularity among the financially stable smallholder farmers. Under such condition, commercialism in cash crops increased dramatically when government agencies such as Malaysian Pepper Board (MPB), Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB) and Department of Agriculture (DOA) offer various kinds of smallholder subsidy schemes to the farmers (Ichikawa, 2007).

At present, the total land area under perennial cash crops in Sarawak were estimated to be 159,000 ha for rubber, 15,000 ha for pepper and 1,022,000 ha for oil palm in 2011, which accounted for 11.0%, 1.0% and 70.5% of the total agricultural land, respectively (Department of Agriculture Sarawak, 2011). In addition, the area used for oil palm cultivation has expanded drastically from 508,307 ha in year 2004 to 1,022,000 ha in 2011. Meanwhile, rubber and pepper cultivation had increased slightly from 156,731 ha to 159,000 ha and 12,930 ha to 15,000 ha, respectively. Based on the statistics reported by Department of Agriculture Sarawak (2011), it is noticeable that agricultural land for oil palm cultivation has

expanded rapidly either plantation based or smallholder based due to the high global demand for crude palm oil (CPO).

Meanwhile, rapid growth of human population, illegal logging activities and timber plantation, along with dam construction as well as the governments' policy in the conversion of native customary land into a titled commercial agricultural land like oil palm plantation, accelerates deforestation and land clearing which had extended to most of the upland areas in Sarawak (Ngidang, 2002). Due to competition in searching new land for farming, such scenario had indirectly promoted the transitional changes in the traditionally practiced subsistence farming into a more sedentary and intensive farming practices, notably reduction of fallow length and the incorporation of agrochemicals during cropping period (Kendawang *et al.*, 2004; Ichikawa, 2007; Wasli *et al.*, 2009; Schreinemachers *et al.*, 2013). In addition, the limitation of arable lands has forced farmers to utilize the unsuitable, infertile land for cash crop farming (Ishizuka *et al.*, 2000). Likewise, cash crops are intensively cultivated and established on a permanent agricultural land, involving significant application of agrochemicals throughout a long cropping period (Kendawang *et al.*, 2004; Dalle *et al.*, 2006; Boonyanuphap *et al.*, 2007; Tanaka *et al.*, 2009).

As reported by Hardaker *et al.* (1993), the nature of upland areas is environmentally fragile and sensitive to over-exploitation from human-induced degradation. The vulnerability of the marginal upland soil resources is therefore unpredictable under such permanent agricultural practice of shortening of fallow length as well as usage of agrochemicals. Some researchers reported the degradation of upland soil resources due to improper implementation of land use management and illiteracy of agrochemicals usage such as nutrient imbalance, over-application of fertilizers, pesticides pollution, surface runoff and erosion (Zhang *et al.*,